

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/697,497	10/27/2000	Ronald Coleman	CITI0192-US	3524	
27510 KU PATRICK	7590 08/24/2007 STOCKTON LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
607 14TH STR	REET, N.W.		AKINTOLA,	AKINTOLA, OLABODE	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)			
		09/697,497	COLEMAN, RONA	LD	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Olabode Akintola	3691		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence add	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available unafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extended	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. , the maximum statutory period we ded period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT	TON. be timely filed from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to commun</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is closed in accordance w</li> </ul>	2b)☐ This in condition for allowan	action is non-final.	•	merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4)	s) is/are withdraw llowed. cted. bjected to.				
Application Papers		•			
	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the c et(s) including the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8' 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)		nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 09/697,497

Art Unit: 3691

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fogel et al. (US 6542905) ("Fogel") in view of Mathews, Jr. et al (US 6526358) ("Mathews").

Re claims 1, 7: Fogel teaches a method comprising: identifying at least one variable of the a system (col. 4, lines 20-21, col. 5, lines 24-30); determining a first hypothesis about the at least one variable (col. 4, lines 47-50); providing an initial probability of the first hypothesis about the at least one variable (col. 10, lines 5-18 and 41-45); identifying a change of value in the at least one variable of the system (col. 4, lines 37-43, col. 5, lines 63-65); determining by probabilistic

Application/Control Number: 09/697,497

Art Unit: 3691

induction at least one cause of the change of value in the at least one variable of the system (Abstract, col. 6, line 14 thru col. 7, lines 32).

Fogel does not explicitly teach risk assessment system; and evaluating the initial probability of the first hypothesis based on the at least one cause. However, Fogel teaches applicability in financial service industry including risk factors for quality indicator or performance measure (col. 3, lines 14-30; col. 10, lines 31-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fogel to include risk assessment system. One would have been motivated to do so in order to identify data integrity issues.

Mathews teaches evaluating the initial probability of the first hypothesis based on the at least one cause (col. 6, lines 19-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fogel to include this step. One would have been motivated to do so in order to determine the statistical accuracy of the hypothesis, thereby enhancing the functionality of the process.

Re claims 2 and 3: Fogel teaches input and output (figure)

Re claim 4: Fogel teaches external data (figure)

Re claim 5: Fogel teaches server (figure)

Re claim 6: Fogel teaches observable information (figure)

Re claims 8: Fogel teaches hypothesizing that the at least one variable has not changed (col. 4, lines 47-50)

Re claim 9: Fogel teaches providing a prior probability of the at least one variable and providing an initial conditional probability of the at least one variable (col. 10, lines 5-18 and 41-45)

Art Unit: 3691

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olabode Akintola whose telephone number is 571-272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,497 Page 5

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER